

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/077,973	· •	02/20/2002	Ivan Tomasi	3875-0108P	5106	
2292	7590	01/02/2004		EXAMINER		
		T KOLASCH & BIR	FISCHMANN, BRYAN R			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			3618		
				DATE MAILED: 01/02/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 10/1077,973											
Examiner Bryan Fischmann Safe 8 Ferriod for Reply ASHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Educations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 50 (kg MoXTHS from the mailing date of hits communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vill apply and will explain sold reply is 10 considered timely. If NO period for reply is application to the communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply vill. by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (38 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply recedued by the Office lister than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely fised, may reduce any cannot patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 December 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-25 and 28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is a single with drawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-5 and 12 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-1 and 12 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-1 and 12 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 6-10 is/are objected to. 8) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected			Applic	ation No.	Appli	icant(s)					
Bryan Fischmann 3618 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. E botherions of time may be weatible under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after \$X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, he maximum statutory period will apply and will expire \$X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, he maximum statutory period will apply and will expire \$X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, he maximum statutory period will apply and will expire \$X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, and the provision of the provisio				′,973							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. • Settled on the set may be expended by the provisions of 3 To EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. • Settled of the proper settled by the provisions of 3 To EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. • Settled of the proper settled by the provisions of 3 To EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THIS from the mailing date of this communication. • If No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. • Failure to reply which the set or danded period for reply with the settlation, cause the application to become ABM/DONE(S (5 E 133). • Any reply received by the Official later than three months after the malling date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any semidal period them deplications. Set 97 CPR 1740(s). Status **Status** 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 December 2003. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-25 and 28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 1.1.13-25 and 28 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1.1.13-25 and 28 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 6-10 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2002 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objec				ner	Art U	Init					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1386.). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, he remailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, he mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, he mailing date of the specified above, he mailing date of the specified above, he mailing date of the specified above, he mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 December 2003. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-25 and 28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 1.13-25 and 28 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 5.10 is/are objected to. Claim(s) 5.10 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2002 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The coath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 1											
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30 days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. - Pailure to reply within the sole or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONEO (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than there months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed pathent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.79(b). Status 1)			tion appears on	the cover sheet w	vith the corresp	ondence a	ddress				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-25 and 28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-5 and 12 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13-25 and 28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6-10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 6-10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 6-10 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)	THE I - External after of the control of the contro	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) data period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no cation. ays, a reply within the by period will apply an by statute, cause the	statutory minimum of thi d will expire SIX (6) MO application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be DNTHS from the maili ABANDONED (35 U.	considered time ing date of this o S.C. § 133).	ily. communication.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-25 and 28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-5 and 12 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11,13-25 and 28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6-10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>03 Decembe</u>	<u>r 2003</u> .							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-25 and 28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-5 and 12 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11,13-25 and 28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6-10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)	2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)	oxtimes This action is	non-final.							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 and 28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 12 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 11.13-25 and 28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 6-10 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)	3)										
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 1-5 and 12 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 11.13-25 and 28 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 6-10 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2002 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)	Dispositi	on of Claims									
5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 12 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 11.13-25 and 28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 6-10 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)	4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-25 and 28 is/are pending in	the application.								
6) Claim(s) 11,13-25 and 28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6-10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)											
7)	5)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 12</u> is/are allowed.									
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)	6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>11,13-25 and 28</u> is/are rejected.									
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)	7)🖂	Claim(s) 6-10 is/are objected to.									
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)	8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or electio	n requirement.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)	Applicati	on Papers									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)	9)[The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)	10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 200	<u>02</u> is/are: a)⊠	accepted or b)□	objected to by	y the Exam	iner.				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)		Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 Cf	FR 1.85(a).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)		Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction is red	uired if the drawin	g(s) is objected	to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)	11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner.	Note the attache	ed Office Action	n or form P	TO-152.				
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) 	Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) 		☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			. § 119(a)-(d) c	or (f).					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)	* (2. Certified copies of the priority do3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	cuments have t the priority docu I Bureau (PCT l	been received in suments have bee Rule 17.2(a)).	n received in the		l Stage				
37 CFR 1.78.	13)∐ <i>A</i> s 3	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for on Ince a specific reference was included in 7 CFR 1.78.	domestic priority n the first sente	y under 35 U.S.C nce of the specifi	c. § 119(e) (to a cation or in an	Application					
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific 		, =	• •	• •			a specific				
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.											
Attachment(s)	Attachmen	t(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO		5) D Notice of							

Art Unit: 3618

Acknowledgments

1. The Substitute Specification (paper 10) and the Amendment (paper 11) filed 12-03-2003 have been entered.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 6-10, 13, 15, 20 and 28 are objected to because of the following:

Note: The claims are considered to be replete with objectionable matter.

Therefore, a comprehensive listing of all objectionable matter cannot be guaranteed.

Applicant is advised to review all claims for objectionable matter.

A) Claim 6 recites "the said lifting handle". The use of both the words "the" and "said" preceding the word "handle" is considered redundant.

See also similar recitations utilizing the words "the" followed by the word "said" in claims 7, 11 and 28.

B) It is believed that to be grammatically correct, that the recitation of "an manual actuation member" should instead be "a manual actuation member" in line 2 of claim 8.

Regarding the objection to claims 9 and 10, note that claims 9 and 10 are dependent upon claim 6.

C) Claim 13 recites "said supporting member". Note that this claim is dependant upon claim 4, which recites "support member".

Due to this, it is believed that the above claim 13 recitation should instead be "said support member".

Application/Control Number: 10/077,973

Art Unit: 3618

D) On the penultimate line of claim 15, it is believed that wording would be improved if the word "the" were inserted before the words "folding frame".

Also note that the term "folding frame" would now lack antecedent basis if the word "the" is added before the words "folding frame". Perhaps another term is intended.

E) On the third line of claim 20, the recitation of "being formed said tooth designed to" is considered awkward and somewhat unclear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 11, 13-25 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicants regard as their invention.

Note: The claims are considered to be replete with unclear matter. Therefore, a comprehensive listing of all unclear matter cannot be guaranteed. Applicant is advised to review all claims for unclear matter.

A) Claim 11 recites "the...motion transmission means". Claim 11 is dependent upon claim 1. Claim 1 recites "...a pair of motion transmission means".

Due to this, it is considered unclear whether the claim 11 recitation above is referring to only one, or both motion transmission means.

See also a similar recitation in claims 13, 14, 17, 22, 24 and 28.

Regarding the rejection of claims 16, 18, 19 and 23, note that claim 16 is dependent upon claim 14 and claims 18 and 19 are dependent upon claim 17.

Application/Control Number: 10/077,973 Page 4

Art Unit: 3618

B) Claim 13 recites the limitation "said handle grip". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

- C) Claim 15 recites the limitation "said cursor member". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- D) Claim 20 recites the limitation "said frame handle". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- E) Claim 20 recites the limitation "said tooth". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- F) Claim 20 recites the limitation "said support block". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Regarding the rejection of claim 21, note that claim 21 is dependant upon claim 20.

G) Claim 25 recites the limitation "said strut component". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 1-5 and 12 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 6-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Åpplication/Control Number: 10/077,973 Page 5

Art Unit: 3618

7. Claims 11, 13-25 and 28 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Examiner's Comments

- 8. The Substitute Specification (paper 10) and the Amendment (paper 11) resolved all objections and 112 rejections set forth in the last Office Action.
- 9. Upon further review, the Examiner noticed that numerous minor objections and 112 2nd paragraph rejections were still present in the claims, as newly set forth in this Office Action (paper 12). Accordingly, this action is made non-final. The Examiner regrets that these objections and 112 2nd paragraph rejections were previously overlooked.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Bryan Fischmann whose telephone number is (703) 306-5955. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Johnson, can be reached on (703) 308-0885. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

BRYAN FISCHRARIAN PATENT EXAMINED